REMARKS

In the Office Action mailed January 27, 2006, the Examiner has required restriction between the following species:

- I. directed to a parameter identification used in the design of the adaptation algorithm;
- II. directed to an Extended Kalman Filter used in the design of the adaptation algorithm; and
- III. directed to a neuro-fuzzy method used in the design of the adaptation algorithm.

Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, Species 1, directed to a parameter identification used in the design of the adaptation algorithm for further prosecution on the merits. Applicants submit that prosecution of claims of claims 11, 14-20, 22, 24-26, 29-34 and 36-46 is in order. Applicants have amended the claims so that each of the now pending claims is directed to Species 1 and therefore, should be considered by the Examiner.

Consequently, examination of all of the claims is in order.

Application No. 10/629,860

Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2006

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

12

Dated: February 23, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Edward J. Ellis

Registration No.: 40,389 DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant